

kein anderer stehen darf. (Dafür spricht gl. 3 dieses Kanons.) Die Wortstellung $\tau\ddot{o}\ \tau\ \kappa\theta\alpha\varrho\nu$ offenbar nach dem üblichen $\tau\ddot{o}\ o\ \mu\iota\kappa\varrho\nu$, $\tau\ddot{o}\ o\ \mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha$ usw. Vgl. noch unten zu can. 80.

5) can. 80 (S. 113, 8): *T\ddot{o}\ o\ \pi\varrho\dot{\delta}\ \tau\o\vartheta\ \varphi\ \kappa\theta\alpha\varrho\nu\ <\kappa\alpha\tau'\ \dot{\alpha}\varrho\chi\dot{\eta}\nu\ \lambda\epsilon\xi\epsilon\omega\varsigma> \dots \dot{\alpha}\pi\o\sigma\tau\varrho\epsilon\varphi\eta\tau\iota\ \tau\dot{\eta}\nu\ \delta\iota\dot{\alpha}\ \tau\o\vartheta\ o\ \mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\dot{\lambda}\nu\ \gamma\varrho\alpha\varphi\dot{\eta}\nu³⁶*).

6) can. 82 (S. 114, 5): *T\ddot{o}\ \chi\ <\pi\varrho\dot{\delta}\ \dot{\epsilon}\alpha\ntilde{n}t\o\dot{\delta}\> \kappa\alpha\tau'\ \dot{\alpha}\varrho\chi\dot{\eta}\nu\ \lambda\epsilon\xi\epsilon\omega\varsigma\dots\ \pi\varrho\alpha\iota\ \tau\epsilon\iota\tau\iota\ \tau\dot{\eta}\nu\ \delta\iota\dot{\alpha}\ \tau\o\vartheta\ o\ \mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha\dot{\lambda}\nu\ \gamma\varrho\alpha\varphi\dot{\eta}\nu³⁷*). Vgl. can. 51.53.

In die voraufgehenden Ausführungen sind nur solche Fälle aufgenommen worden, in denen ein mehr oder minder plausibler Vorschlag zur Heilung vorgebracht werden konnte; das besagt allerdings nicht, daß ich in allen Fällen überzeugt sei, den Wortlaut des Autors (der ja z. T. der seiner Quellen sein kann) getroffen zu haben. Vor allem im Hinblick auf die Ergänzungen zum Text der Canones 61. 67. 77. 80. 82 wie auch auf einige weitere Vorschläge war mir von vornherein klar, daß manches noch der Korrektur oder der Modifikation bedürfen würde; in diesen Fällen war mein Ziel eigentlich eher, einen Weg zu weisen, auf dem nach meinem Ermessen die Konstitution des Textes erfolgreich gesucht werden könnte. Im übrigen ist über die hier behandelten Stellen hinaus noch manches am Theognosttext zu tun; aber — um mit Theognost selbst zu schließen (S. 108, 11 Alpers) —: *τ\ddot{a}\ \ddot{\alpha}\ll\alpha\ \tau\o\dot{\iota}\ \varphi\iota\lo\pi\o\dot{\nu}\o\dot{\nu}\iota\ \pi\varrho\alpha\chi\omega\dot{\rho}\dot{\omega}*.

Greek Lexicographical Notes: Fourth Series

By ROBERT RENEHAN, Boston College

For the scope and format of this paper see *Glotta* 46. 1968. 60–61. Words in quotation marks after a Greek lemma are in each instance a citation from the appropriate entry in *LSJ*.

* * *

³⁶) Auch hier scheint das Adjektiv $\kappa\theta\alpha\varrho\nu$ sich eher auf den Konsonanten φ zu beziehen; daher die von mir vorgenommene Änderung des überlieferten $\kappa\theta\alpha\varrho\nu$ in $\kappa\theta\alpha\varrho\nu$. Der überlieferte Nominativ müßte sich auf $\tau\ddot{o}\ o$ beziehen, die Wortstellung wäre in diesem Fall allerdings recht ungewöhnlich. Man könnte diese Verbindung nur aufrechterhalten, wenn man $\kappa\theta\alpha\varrho\nu\ <\ddot{\sigma}\nu>$ schreibe; der Ausfall des Partizips wäre durch Haplographie zu erklären, obwohl an dieser Stelle noch mehr Text ausgefallen zu sein scheint (s.o.). Was auf keinen Fall richtig sein kann, ist die überlieferte Formulierung.

³⁷) Dieser Zusatz ist bereits von Alpers vorgenommen worden.

ἀβλαβής, ἔς “without harm, i.e., I. Pass., unharmed unhurt, Sapph. *Supp.* 1. 1 [= frag. 5. 1 Lobel-Page] . . .” In the Sapphic fragment the (restored) form is an Aeolic accusative ἀβλάβη[ν], and it should be so indicated. Compare *LSJ* s.v. ἀβακής, ἔς: “. . . ἀβάκην (Aeol. acc.) . . . Sapph. 72 [= 120 Lobel-Page].” (On ἀβακής see further now *LSJ* Suppl.)

ἄγαμαι “. . . II. in bad sense, feel envy, bear a grudge, c. dat. pers., εἰ μή οἱ ἀγάσσατο Φοῖβος Ἀπόλλων Il. 17. 71 . . . 2. c. acc., to be jealous of, angry at a thing, ἀγασσάμενοι κακὰ ἔργα Od. 2. 67; of Gods, 4. 181, cf. 23. 69.” Apollonius Rhodius, *Argonautica* 1. 141, uses this verb c. dat. pers. et gen. rei in this meaning: . . . ἥιε, μή οἱ δῆμος ἐνκλείης ἀγάσαιτο. Pindar, *Paean* VIII. 75 Sn. so uses ᄀγαμαι with the genitive alone: ἔκρυψαν τὸ πάντων ἔργων ιερώτατον / γλυκείας δόπος ἀγασθέντες . . .

ἄγηρας, ov. Add the nominative plural form ἄγηρω = ᄀγηραοι in Corinna frag. 1. col. iii. 25 Page.

ἄγωγή, ḥ “. . . II. 3 direction, training . . . in pl. systems of education . . . esp. of the public education of the Spartan youth, Λακωνικὴ ἀ. Plb. 1. 32. 1; Ἀγησίλαος ἥχθη τὴν λεγομένην ἄγωγήν ἐν Λακεδαιμονίῳ Plu. *Ages.* 1 . . .” The oldest certain occurrence of ᄀγωγή in this specialized sense seems to be Sosibius (3rd century B.C.) *apud Athenaeum* 15. p. 674 B: . . . ὡς φησι Σωσίβιος ἐν τοῖς περὶ τῶν ἐν Λακεδαιμονίῳ Θνοιῶν γράφων οὕτως. “ἐν ταύτῃ συμβαίνει τοὺς μὲν ἀπὸ τῆς χώρας καλάμοις στεφανοῦσθαι ἢ στλεγγίδι, τοὺς δ’ ἐκ τῆς ᄀγωγῆς παιδας ἀστεφανάτους ἀκολουθεῖν.” For a good discussion of this meaning of ᄀγωγή, see G. R. Morrow, *Plato’s Cretan City* (Princeton, 1960), pp. 301–302, n. 14. Morrow (who quotes the Sosibius fragment) concludes “It is by no means certain that the Spartan training was called ᄀγωγή in Plato’s time.”

ἀθρήματα, τά “wedding gifts, Hsch.” Snell (*Glotta* 37. 1958. 283–285) restored ᄀθρήματα for ᄀθρόματα in Sappho frag. 44. 9 Lobel-Page (= frag. 55. 9 Diehl). Compare Hesychius: ᄀθρήματα· δῶρα πεμπόμενα παρὰ τῶν συγγενῶν ταῖς γαμονμέναις παρθένοις παρὰ Λεσβίοις. This convincing correction seems to have gone unnoticed by recent editors. [See now B. Snell, *Gesammelte Schriften*, p. 99, n. 1; this conjecture was anticipated by E. Lobel, *Bodleian Quarterly Record* 3, 1920/21, 192 and J. M. Edmonds, *Lyra Greaca* vol. 1.]

αίματόεις, ὁεσσα, ὁεν. The striking figurative usage in Archilochus, frag. 7. 8 Diehl deserves an entry: αίματόεν δ’ ἔλκος ᄀναστένομεν. The “bloody sore” which the poet laments is generally thought to be the death of some friends in a shipwreck.

ἀτσσω. *LSJ* fail to indicate that this verb is used of “shooting” stars; see *Iliad* 4.74–78, *Plato Republic* 621B. Compare *LSJ* s.v. *διατσσω*.

ἀλέγω “... II. with a case, 1. c. gen. *heed, care for, οὐδ’ ἀλλήλων ἀλέγονσιν* Od. 9.115 ... cf. Simon. 37.10 ... 2. less freq. c. acc., *regard, respect ...*” Simonides, *loc. cit.* (= frag. 38.13–16 Page) should be cited as an instance of *ἀλέγω* c. acc.: *ἄλμαν δ’ ὑπερθε τεῖν κομᾶν βαθεῖαν παριόντος κύματος οὐκ ἀλέγεις οὐδ’ ἀνέμον φθόγγον.*

ἄλιππα, τό “Aeol., = *ἄλειμμα, EM* 64.40.” The entry in the *Etymologicum Magnum* is as follows: *ἄλιππα· παρὰ τὸ ἀλείφω γίνεται ἄλειμμα καὶ Αἰολικῶς ἄλιππα.* On the basis of this editors now generally restore *ἄλειππα* in Alcaeus frag. 45.7 Lobel-Page (= frag. 77.7 Diehl).

ἄλφιτον, τό “*barley-groats, ... pl. ἄλφιτα opp. ἀλείατα ... II. generally, meal, groats, ἀ. πύρινα Hp. Acut. (Sp.) 53; ἀ. φακῶν καὶ ὁρόβων Id. Int. 23 ...*” That *τὰ ἄλφιτα* is good Attic for “meal,” “groats” in general, whether of wheat or barley, is demonstrated by Plato *Leges* 849C 6 ... *ἢ κριθῶν ἢ πυρῶν εἰς ἄλφιτα νεμηθέντων ...* L. A. Moritz in an interesting note in *CQ* 43. 1949. 113–117 argues convincingly that “‘groats generally’ is the primary meaning of the word,” while by the time of Aristophanes “*ἄλφιτα*, at least in its literal sense, had probably acquired its secondary meaning of ‘barley groats’.” Mr. Moritz does not cite the Plato passage, which is strong support for his main thesis. Add also Pausanias 1.18.7 *ἄλφιτα πυρῶν*.

ἀμπεχόνη, ἥ “*fine shawl worn by women and effeminate men, Pherecr. 108.28. 2. clothing, X. Mem. 1. 2. 5 etc.; in pl., modes of dress, Pl. R. 425b.*” Add Plato, *Charmides* 173B 7 ... *καὶ τὰ σκεύη καὶ τὴν ἀμπεχόνην καὶ ὑπόδεσιν πᾶσαν καὶ τὰ χρήματα πάντα ...* and *Leges* 679A 4 ... *ἀμπεχόνης γε καὶ στρωμῆς καὶ οἰκήσεων καὶ σκευῶν ...* See further *LSJ Suppl.* s. v. *ἀμπεχόνη*.

ἀνακρήμαντι “= *ἀνακρεμάννυμι, shore up J. BJ* 5.11.4; *undermine, ὑπονόμους τὸ τεῖχος App. Mith.* 75: — also *ἀνακρημάω*, prob. in *J. BJ* 2. 17.8, *AJ* 7.10.2.” A much older occurrence of this verb (= “hang up,” “suspend”) may be seen in Pindar, *Paean VIII.* 79 Sn., where the participle *ἀνακριμάντες* occurs. (For the orthography -κριμν- compare *Pythian* 4.25, where editors now generally adopt the MS variant *κριμνάντων* rather than *κρημνάντων*; see further *LSJ Suppl.* s.v. *κρίμνημι*.)

ἀνέχω. For the genitive with the middle of this verb (*LSJ* s.v. C. II. 3, 4) add Teles, p. 18.6 Hense: “*πῶς ἀνέχῃ ταύτης συμβιούσης;*”

“πῶς δὲ σὺ τῶν παρὰ σοὶ χηρῶν;” For another example see my *Second Series s.v. ἀνέχω* (*Glotta* 47. 1969. 222).

ἀποστρέφω “... A. II. as if intr. (sc. ἔαντόν, ἵππον, ναῦν, etc.), *turn back*, Th. 6.65; ἀ. ὅπισω Hdt. 4.43; ἀ. πάλιν S. *OC* 1403. 2. *turn away* or *aside*. Hdt. 8.87; of a river, Id. 4.52; τάναντίᾳ ἀ. X. *HG* 3.4.12.” Add Dinarchus 2.23: ὁ φόβος ὁ παρ’ ὑμῶν τὸν δρμῶντας ἐπὶ τὰ καθ’ ὑμῶν ἤκοντα χρήματα ... ποιεῖ πολλάκις ἀπὸ τοῦ λήμματος ἀποστρέψειν.

ἀργυρόρροντος, ον “(ρέω) *beside a silver stream*, ὅχθοι Ζεύς

E. *HF* 386 (lyr.).” The correct form of this *hapax eiremenon* epithet is almost certainly ἀργυρορρόντας, ον, δ, which should replace the entry ἀργυρόρροντος, ον in *LSJ*. See W. Jaeger in *Rh. Mus.* 100 (1957) 384–385 and note 4 (= his *Scripta Minora* II. 524 n. 1) and R. Renehan in *HSCP* 67 (1963) 269–271. For the form Jaeger compares ἀργυροδίνας, καλλιδίνας, χρυσορόντης (-ρόας). The adjective does not mean “*beside a silver stream*” but “*silver-flowing*;” the text of the Euripides passage is disputed, but the epithet probably modifies Ζεύς (so the MSS).

ἀρχέτας, ὁ “Dor. for ἀρχέτης, *leader, prince*, E. *El.* 1149; as Adj., ἀρχόντος *princely throne*, Id. *Heracl.* 753.” Add Euripides frag. 773.57 Nauck ἐμοῖσιν ἀρχέταις (from the *Phaethon*).

ἀρχιθεωρία, ἡ “the office of ἀρχιθέωρος, Lys. 21.5, *Inscr. Prier.* 174.27 (ii B.C.).” Add Dinarchus 1.81: ... Νικάνορι διὰ τῆς ἀρχεθεωρίας ἐντυχεῖν ἐβούλετο. For the orthography ἀρχε- (ἀρχι- MSS), see K. Meisterhans, *Grammatik der attischen Inschriften*³, p. 116, 5. (Add also an example of ἀρχεθέωρος (ἀρχι- MSS) in Dinarchus 1.82 below.)

ἀτοκεῖον, τό “= *contraceptive*, *SIG* 985.20 (Philadelphia, i B.C.).”

ἀτοκία, ἡ “*unfruitfulness, barrenness*, Muson. *Fr.* 15 Ap. 77 H.”

ἀτόκιος, ον “*causing barrenness*, Dsc. 1.81; ἀτόκιον (sc. φάρμακον), τό, a *medicine for causing it*, Hp. *Mul.* 1.76, Dsc. 1.77.”

There is some confusion in these three entries. K. Hopkins in *CQ* 59 (1965) 72–74 has demonstrated that in the passage from Musonius Rufus cited in *LSJ* s.v. ἀτοκία, ἡ the correct reading is ἀτόκια, the plural of ἀτόκιον, τό, “*contraceptive*.” The entry ἀτοκία, ἡ in *LSJ* should therefore be deleted; no example of this word is extant. For ἀτόκιον, τό, “*contraceptive*,” Hopkins cites Dioscorides, *De re medica* 1.77.2; 3.130; 3.134.2; Soranus, *Gynaecia* 1.60 (where the word occurs four times: p. 45.1, 2, 4, 17 Ilberg). Soranus, *loc. cit.*, illustrates clearly this meaning of the word: ἀτόκιον δὲ φθορίον διαφέρει, τὸ μὲν γὰρ οὐκ ἐᾶ γενέσθαι σύλληψιν, τὸ δὲ φθείρει τὸ συλληφθέν. (Compare also, ex. gr., Dioscorides 1.77.2: ... περιχρισθεῖσα δὲ αἰδοίῳ πρὸ τῆς συνονσίας ἀτόκιον ἔστι.) Whether ἀτοκεῖον, τό, deserves

a separate entry from ἀτόκιον, τό seems doubtful to me: it is a question of a common variant orthography (*ει* / *ι*), not of two distinct words. For a similar confusion compare *LSJ* s. *vv.* φθορεῖον, τό and φθόριος, *or.* *LSJ* correctly cite Dioscorides 1.81 for the *adjective* ἀτόκιος; ἀτόκιον, τό should therefore be regarded as a substantival use of this adjective. However, two different meanings of ἀτόκιον, τό should be distinguished: 1) *medicine for causing barrenness* (ex. gr. Hippocrates *Mul.* 1.76: Ἀτόκιον· ἦν μὴ δέῃ κνίσκεσθαι, μίσος δσον κύαμον διεὶς ὄδατι, πίνειν διδόναι, καὶ ἐνιαυτὸν, ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν, οὐ κνίσκεται) and 2) *contraceptive* (compare above).

ἄτονος, *or* “not stretched, slack, relaxed, of the limbs, Hp. *Aér.* 3 (Comp.), 19 . . .” In my *Third Series* of lexicographical notes I called attention to the (apparently) uncommon use of τόνος as an anatomical term (= “sinew,” “tendon,” “nerve”) and quoted a metaphorical application of the word in Plutarch’s *Moralia* (457 D). The two occurrences of the corresponding adjective ἄτονος in the Hippocratic *Airs Waters Places* (see above) well illustrate this meaning of τόνος. (*LSJ*, however, are not quite accurate in saying “. . . of the limbs;” in both passages the adjective is applied to τὰ εἴδεα in the sense of “physique,” “constitution of body.” See *LSJ* s. *v.* εἴδος I. i. c.) The second-century A.D. Christian writing of Hermas, *The Shepherd*, contains a further illustration of the anatomical meaning: τὴν δὲ ἀπειλὴν τοῦ διαβόλου δλως μὴ φοβηθῆτε· ἄτονος γάρ ἐστιν ὁσπερ νεκροῦ νεῦρα (*Mandate* 12.6.2). Compare also Epictetus 3.16.7: διὰ τί οὖν ἐκεῖνοι ὑμῶν ἴσχυρότεροι; δτι ἐκεῖνοι μὲν τὰ σαπρὰ ταῦτα ἀπὸ δογμάτων λαλοῦσιν, ὅμετος δὲ τὰ κομψὰ ἀπὸ τῶν χειλῶν διὰ τοῦτο ἄτονά ἐστι καὶ νεκρά.

ἀντοσχέδιος, *a*, *or* “. . . II. offhand, improvised, rough and ready . . . τὸ αὐ. opp. τὸ περιπτωτικόν, in Empiric medicine, Gal. 1.66.” Add the substantival use of τὰ ἀντοσχέδια = “extemporaneous speeches” (τὰ αντοσχεδιάσματα) in Ps-Plutarch, *Vitae Decem Oratorum* p. 842 C: . . . οὐκ εὖ πρός τὰ αντοσχέδια πεφυκάς.

ἀψευδέω “not to lie, to speak truth, πρός τινα S. *Tr.* 469, Aeschin. 2.95: abs. Ar. *Fr.* 751; not to err, Pl. *Tht.* 199 b; περί τι Arist. *SE* 165^a 25. II. observe faithfully, *IG* 5 (2). 343. 38, 57 (Orchom. Arc., IV. B.C.).” This verb was used in Athenian law; see Hyperides, *adversus Athenogenem* 14: δ μὲν τοίννν εἰς νόμος κελεύει ἀψευδεῖν ἐν τῇ ἀ[γορᾷ] and Demosthenes, *Oratio xx.* 9 (referring to this same law): πᾶς γὰρ οὐκ αἰσχρόν, ὃ ἀνδρες Αθηναῖοι, κατὰ μὲν τὴν ἀγορὰν ἀψευδεῖν νόμον γεγράφθαι κτλ. Compare Harpocration, *s.v.* κατὰ τὴν ἀγορὰν ἀψευδεῖν, who quotes the Hyperides passage and refers to Theophrastus ἐν τοῖς περὶ νόμων for this verb.

ἄψος, εος, τό “*juncture, joint, λύθεν δέ οι ἄψεα πάντα all his joints were relaxed [by sleep]*, Od. 4.794, cf. Nic. *Al.* 541 . . . in pl., *limbs*, *AP* 5.217 (Agath.), al.” Add *Odyssey* 18.189, where the epic phrase cited above recurs and Apollonius Rhodius *Argon.* 3.676 *ἢ νῦ σε θευμορή περιδέδρομεν ἄψεα νοῦσος*. See also *LSJ Suppl.* s.v. *ἄψος*.

βάθος, εος, τό “*depth or height, acc. as measured up or down . . . βάθονς μετέχειν to be a solid, possessing depth as well as length and breadth, Pl. R. 528b . . .*” Plato *Leges* 817 E 6–7 deserves a citation: . . . *μετρητικὴ δὲ μήκονς καὶ ἐπίπεδον καὶ βάθονς . . .* E. B. England *ad loc.* comments “*βάθος*, as Ritter says . . . is here used in the sense of *solidity*, not merely *height* or *depth* (which is merely lineal, like width). *πλάτος* in the same way is *surface*, not merely *breadth*. The dictionaries recognize the latter meaning, but not the former.” Compare *LSJ* s.v. *ἐπίπεδος, ον, or III. 1:* “. . . *μήκονς καὶ ἐ. καὶ βάθονς* one-, two-, and three-dimensional magnitude Pl. *Lg.* 817 E . . .” For *βάθος* used of *solids*, see further the whole section in Plato’s *Laws* 819 C–820A.

βιατάς, α, ὁ “*forceful, mighty, Pi. Pae. 6.84 al.; σοφοὶ καὶ χερσὶ βιαταί Id. P. 1. 42; β. νόος Id. O. 9.75; of wine, potent, Id. N. 9.51; Άρης AP 7.492 (Anyte).*” *LSJ* fail to cite the oldest extant occurrence of this word, Alcman frag. 1.4 (= the Louvre *Partheneion*), where *τὸν βιατὰν* is found in a broken context.

βρύω “*to be full to bursting 1. c. dat., swell or teem with . . . B. 3.15 . . . 2. c. gen. to be full of . . .*” Bacchylides 3.15–16, where the poet elegantly combines both constructions, ought to be included (*LSJ* cite 3.15 for the dative): *βρύει μὲν ἱερὰ βουθύτοις ἔορταῖς, / βρύονται φιλοξενίας ἀγνιαῖ.*

γάνος, εος, τό “*brightness, sheen . . . 3. of water and wine, from their quickening and refreshing qualities . . .*” Add *IG* 3.779.5–6 (an inscription in elegiacs) *γάνος . . . οἴνας*.

δαιτυμών, όνος, ὁ. Add Alcman, frag. 98 Page (= 71 Diehl); compare below under *κατάρχω*.

δεικηλίκτας, α, ὁ “*Dor. for δεικηλίστης, one who represents; esp. Lacon., = ὑποκριτής, actor who played burlesque parts, Plu. Ages. 21, 2.212 F, Ath. 14.621e (δικ- Codd.).*” It is wrong to quote Athenaeus, *loc. cit.* for the form *δεικηλίκτας*, for it does not occur there. Rather, a new entry *δεικηλιστής, οῦ, ὁ* should be added to *LSJ*. This form of the word occurs in Athenaeus 14.621e and 621f (the accent is on the *ultima* in Athenaeus: -ιστής, not -ίστης as in *LSJ*).

δέος, δέονς, τό “*fear, alarm . . . ἵνα γὰρ δ., ἔνθα καὶ αἰδώς Poet. ap Pl. Euthyphr. 12b . . .*” According to the scholiast *ad loc.* in codex T

*εἰσινται . . . ἐκ τῶν Στασίνον Κυπρίων [= frag. 23 Allen]; the same attribution in Stobaeus, *Florilegium* 3.671.11. Epicharmus, frag. 221 Kaibel, deserves to be compared: ἔνθα δέος, ἔνταῦθα καιδώς.*

δέω (B) “. . . I. 2 freq. in Att. πολλοῦ δέω I want much, i.e. am far from, mostly c. inf. pres. . . .” *LSJ* also cite an example or two of this idiom c. inf. aor.; Plato *Apologia* 37B, where the future infinitive is found with this idiom, ought to be cited: . . . πολλοῦ δέω ἐμαντόν γε ἀδικήσειν καὶ κατ’ ἐμαντοῦ ἐρεῖν . . . καὶ τιμήσεσθαι τοιούτον τινὸς ἐμαντῷ.

δραστέος, a, or “to be done, S Tr. 1204. II. δραστέον one must do, Id. OT 1443, E. IA 1024, D. Chr. 12.16.” Contrary to what one might assume from this entry in *LSJ*, δραστέον occurs in Attic prose; see Plato *Politicus* 268 D4, *Philebus* 20 A4, *Critias* 108 D8, *Leges* 626 A2, 644 B3, 713 C2, 736 A4, 823 C4. Further, *LSJ* s.v. δράω (A) cite only one example of this verb from Plato—the quasi-legal expression ὁ δράσας (= “the culprit”) in *Leges* 879 A 1. δράω is in fact very common in Plato; see Ast, *Lexicon Platonicum* s.v. δρῶ.

δυσηλεγής, ἔς “Homeric epith. of death and war, bringing bitter grief, cruel, ruthless . . . also of men, πολῖται Thgn. 795 . . .” The Theognidean distich 795–796 is in fact identical with Mimnermus frag. 7 Diehl; δυσηλεγής in this usage should be recognized for Mimnermus.

ἔγγυητός, ἡ, ὅν “always of a wife, plighted, wedded, ἔγγυητὴ γυνή, opp. to an ἔταιρα, Is. 3.77, D. 59.60.” A number of other examples of this word survive, ex. gr. Demosthenes 57.54, Isaeus 3.6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 24, 45, 48; 8, 19. Contrary to what *LSJ* seem to imply, γυνή is not always expressed (Isaeus 3.6, 24, 45, 48). Cross-references to ἔγγυάω I. 2 (“of a father, *plight, betroth . . .* Med., have a woman *plighted or betrothed to one*”) and ἔγγυή 2 (“*betrothal*”) would be helpful.

ἔγκαλέω “. . . II. bring a charge or accusation against a person: —Constr.: c. dat. pers. et acc. rei . . . rarely c. gen. rei, τῆς βραδύτητος αὐτοῖς ἐνεκάλει Plu. Arist. 10 . . .” A second example of the genitive of the charge with this verb occurs at [Demades] 61 Blass: οὐ γὰρ βουλεύσεως ἔγκαλονσιν.

ἔδανός, ἡ, ὅν “eatable: ἔδανόν, τό, food, A. Ag. 1407.” Add Aeschylus, frag. 423 Nauck (from Hesychius: ἔδανά· ἔδώδιμα, βρώσιμα. ἔδανοῖς· βρωσίμοις, Αἰσχύλος).

εἰμί “. . . A. IV. . . . with relat. Particles, ἔστιν ἔνθα . . . ἢ. ὅπῃ . . . ἔσθ’ ὅπον . . . ἢ. ὅπόθεν, ὅπως . . . οὐκ ἢ. ώς . . . ἢ. ὅτε . . .” Some other relative particles which are found in this idiom are: ὅποι (Euripides *Alcestis* 113 . . . οὐδὲ . . . ἔσθ’ ὅποι); οὐ (Euripides *Orestes*

638–39 ἔστι δ' οὐ σιγὴ λόγον / κρείσσων γένοιτ' ἀν. ἔστι δ' οὐ σιγῆς λόγος); ἢ (Plato *Leges* 721 B 7 ἔστιν ἢ τὸ ἀνθρώπινον γένος ... μετείληφεν ἀθανασίας; ib. 828 D 4–5 κοινωνία γὰρ ψυχῇ καὶ σώματι διαλύσεως οὐκ ἔστιν ἢ κρεῖττον; Euripides *Hecuba* 857 ἔστιν γὰρ ἢ ταραγμὸς ἐμπέπτωκέ μοι.)

εἰπερ “... III. with an ellipse, *if you must*, Ar. *Nu.* 227; ἀλλ' εἰ. but *if so*, Pl. *Prm.* 150 b, Arist *EN* 1101^a 12 ...” Add Plato *Republic* 497 E 3, *Leges* 667 A 9, 900 E 6, Aristotle *EN* 1155^b 30, 1174^a 28.

ἐκ. LSJ cite the dialect form ἔς = ἔκ (Cretan and Boeotian); ἔσς = ἔξ should be added. Corinna uses this form, frag. 1. col. iii. 34 Page: δῶκ' Εὐωνούμοι τριπόδων/ἔσς ίῶν [χρε]ισμῶς ἐνέπειν. Compare J. Cramer, *Anecdota Graeca Oxoniensia* 1.172.14 ... ἀν δὲ φωνῆν ἐπιφέρηται, διὰ δύο σσ· “ἔσσάρχι πτολέμω” (= Corinna frag. 23 b Page). See D. L. Page, *Corinna* p. 52.

ἐκηβόλος, ον Add Sappho frag. 44.33 Lobel-Page: Πάον' ... ἐκάβολον.

ἐν “B. With Acc ...” LSJ cite only one literary instance of ἐν c. acc. (Pi. *Pyth.* 2.86); in my *Greek Lexicographical Notes: Second Series* (Glotta 47. 1969. 227), I cited some more examples from Pindar. Corinna also uses ἐν c. acc.: frag. 1. col. i. 21 Page κάλπιδας ἐν χρονσοφαῖς, frag. 1. col. iii. 20 Page ἐν δόμως βάντας. See also frag. 1. col. ii. 26 ἡννομον; a marginal scholium to this verse gives ἔς (compare Pindar *Pythian* 2.86 ἐν πάντα νόμον?). I was, therefore, in error when I wrote in my *Greek Textual Criticism*, p. 129, “ἐν c. acc. is, in literary Greek, a very rare construction which survives *only in Pindar*.”

ἔντεα, τά “fighting gear, arms, armour ... sg. ἔντος; only in Archil. 6.” A second example of the singular ἔντος has turned up in a new fragment of Archilochus, frag. 113.5 Lasserre-Bonnard (= P. *Oxy.* 2313 frag. 5.5). The word is discussed in *Archiloque* (= *Entretiens sur l'antiquité classique*, Tome x) pp. 110 and 132.

ἐπιθαλάμιος, ον “belonging to a bridal, nuptial, ἐπιβονλή Luc. *Salt.* 44; ἔ. φόδαι D. H. *Rh.* 4.1. II. Subst. ἐπιθαλάμιος (sc. ὑμνος or φόδή), δ or ἥ, *bridal song*, sung in chorus before the bridal chamber, Theoc. 18 tit., Luc. *Symp.* 40, Him. *Or.* 1.1.” Add the substantival usage of the neuter τὰ ἐπιθαλάμια = “bridal songs.” See Servius on Vergil *Georgics* 1.31 (p. 139, 1.27ff. Thilo-Hagen): *generum vero pro marito positum multi accipiunt iuxta Sappho, quae in libro, qui inscribitur ἐπιθαλάμια ...* So also P. *Oxy.* xxi. 2294.17 (= Sappho frag. 103 Lobel-Page) where, if the restoration is correct, *Ἐπιθαλάμια* occurs as the title of one of Sappho's books of poetry. See Denys Page,

Sappho and Alcaeus, pp. 116–117. This usage is also preserved in the Latin *epithalamium*, applied to Catullus, *carmen* 62 by Quintilian (9.3. 16); see also Trebellius Pollio 11.

ἐπιλήθω “... II. Med. ... let a thing escape one, forget, lose thought of ... 2. less freq., forget wilfully, τῶν ἐντολέων μεμνημένος ἐπελανθάνετο Hdt. 3.147; ἐκὼν ἐπιλήθομαι Id. 4.43, cf. 3.75, Aeschin. 1.158.” Add [Demades] 11: ... ἵνα τὸν μεταξὺ κινδύνους ἐκὼν ἐπιλάθωμαι (note the uncommon *accusative* κινδύνους, where the *genitive* would have been more usual).

ἔργασία, ἥ “... II. 3. b ἔάν ἔργασίαν εὗρη ὁ οἰκέτης if a slave brings in *earnings*, Hyp. *Ath.* 22.” To this isolated citation may be added other examples of this meaning of ἔργασία: *Novum Testamentum*, *Act. Ap.* 16.16 ἐγένετο δὲ ... παιδίσκην τινὰ ἔχουσαν πνεῦμα Πύθωνα ὑπαντῆσαι ἡμῖν, ἣτις ἔργασίαν πολλὴν παρεῖχεν τοῖς κυρίοις αὐτῆς μαντευομένη; *ib.* 16.19 ἰδόντες δὲ οἱ κύριοι αὐτῆς ὅτι ἐξῆλθεν ἡ ἐλπὶς τῆς ἔργασίας αὐτῶν; see also *ib.* 19.24. So too [Demades] 8: ... οὐκ εἰς δίκας καὶ τὴν ἀπὸ τῆς λογογραφίας ἔργασίαν ἔθηκα τὸν πόνον. Probably Xenophon *Memorabilia* 3.10.1, a passage for which *LSJ* give a reference without citing the Greek under II. 3. a (“trade,” “business”), also belongs here: ... εἴ ποτε τῶν τὰς τέχνας ἔχοντων καὶ ἔργασίας ἔνεκα χρωμένων αὐταῖς διαλέγοιτο τινι, καὶ τούτοις ὡφέλιμος ἦν. Similarly, the expression in Aristotle *Eth. Nic.* 1160^a 16 ἔργασία χρημάτων, given in *LSJ* under II. 1 (“working at, making”) is probably better included here.

ἔχω “... A. II. 5 *support, sustain*; esp. an attack, c. acc. pers., Il. 13.51, 20.27; cf. B. I. 1, C. III. ... B. I. 3 c. gen., *keep from, πολέμουν* Th. I. 112 (cf. C. IV.) ...” For the meaning “*support*”, “*sustain*” add *Iliad* 14.100 where it occurs *c. acc. rei*: ... οὐ γὰρ Ἀχαιοὶ / σχήσοντιν πόλεμον ... (Van Leeuwen, in his commentary to the *Iliad* renders this *sustinebunt hostium impetum*.) Plato, *Leges* 706E, cites this verse with a genitive replacing the accusative: σχήσοντιν πολέμουν. With the genitive (which seems to be a genuine reading in the Plato MSS and not a corruption—Plato cites this passage *Il.* 14.96–102 with several other significant variants) the phrase must mean “take hold of, cling to battle.” Contrast the meaning of the verb *c. gen.* in Thucydides 1.112 (quoted above). For this meaning, compare the common usage of the middle ἔχεσθαι *c. gen.* (*LSJ* s.v. ᔁχω C. I. 2) = “cling to.”

θίασος, δ. What is, I believe, the oldest extant example of this word has been missed by *LSJ*: *Alcman*, frag. 98 Page (= 71 Diehl); the fragment is quoted in full below *s.v. κατάρχω*.

θοίνη, ἡ. Add Alcman, frag. 98 Page (= 71 Diehl).

ἰδιώτης, ον, ὁ “... III. ... of prose-writers, ἐν μέτρῳ ὡς ποιητής, ἢ ἄνευ μέτρου ὡς *i.* Pl. *Phdr.* 258d, cf. *Smp.* 178b ...” A third clear example of this Platonic usage may be seen in the *Laws*, p. 890 A 4: ... *ἰδιωτῶν τε καὶ ποιητῶν* ...

ἱερός, ἄ, ὁν “I. filled with or manifesting divine power, supernatural ... of natural objects or phenomena ... 2. ἥμαρ, κνέφας Il. 8.66, 11. 194; φάος Hes. *Op.* 339 ...” Add Stesichorus frag. 8.3 Page (= 6.3 Diehl) ... *ιαρᾶς ποτὶ βένθεα νυκτὸς ἐρεμνᾶς* and Aeschylus frag. 69.6 Nauck (= frag. 103 Mette) ... *μελανίππον προφυγὼν ιερᾶς νυκτὸς ἀμολγόν.*

ἱερός, ἄ, ὁν “... III. as Subst. ... 1. *ἱερά, τά* ... c. generally, *sacred objects or rites*, Hdt. 1.172, 4.33; *τῶν ὑμετέρων i. καὶ ποιῶν μετεῖχον* D. 57.3; of *cult-images*, *IG Rom.* 3.800 (Syllium).” Lycurgus in his oration *Contra Leocratem* uses the phrase *τὰ πατρῷα ιερά* several times of the sacred cult images of a family (“*penates*”), e.g. c. 25 (*bis*), 38, 56.; so also Porphyry *De Abst.* 2. 16

ἱερός, ἄ, ὁν “... III. as subst. ... 2. after Hom., *ἱερόν, Ion. ἱρόν, τό, holy place ... temple ...” LSJ* cite no example of *ἱερόν, τό*, “temple,” from *poetry*. Bacchylides so uses it, *carmen* 3.15. So also Aeschylus *Supplies* 248 (e coni.), Euripides *Helena* 1002, *Iphigenia Taurica* 969, *Troades* 309, *Io* 1190, frag. 170, 248 (and elsewhere), Callimachus *Hymnus* 6.49

ἱερός, ἄ, ὁν “... IV. 4 *i. (sc. γραμμή)* (cf. *γραμμή* III. 1), last line of draught-board *κινήσαις τὸν ἀπ’ ἵρας ... λίθον* Alc. 82, cf. Epich. 225, Sophr. 127; *τὴν ἀφ’ ιερᾶς* (v. l. *τὴν ιεράν*) Plu. *Cor.* 32.” Plato, *Leges* 739A, uses *ἀφ’ ιεροῦ* (gender?) in the same sense: *ἡ δὴ τὸ μετὰ τοῦτο φορά, καθάπερ πεττῶν ἀφ’ ιεροῦ, τῆς τῶν νόμων κατασκευῆς κτλ.*

ἴνδαλλομαι “*appear, seem ... 2. c. dat. resemble ...”* This verb also has a *transitive* meaning which *LSJ* have missed: “*deem like*,” “*suppose*,” “*imagine*.” See Sextus Empiricus *adv. Math.* 11.122 ὁ *ἄρα τὸν πλοῦτον μέγιστον ἀγαθὸν ἴνδαλλόμενος ... γίνεται φιλάργυρος*; Dio Chrysostom *or.* 11 (12). 53 *πρότερον μὲν γὰρ, ἅτε οὐδὲν σαφὲς εἰδότες, ἄλλην ἄλλος ἀνεπλάττομεν ἴδεαν, πᾶν τὸ θηητὸν (?) κατὰ τὴν ἔαντοῦ δύναμιν καὶ φύσιν ἔκαστος ἴνδαλλόμενοι καὶ ὀνειρώττοντες.* For this meaning one might compare *ἔίσκω, ἵσκω*.

ἱρωστί “*Ion. for ιερωστί, in sacred fashion, Anacr. 149.”* This adverb also survives in Semonides frag. 21.2 Diehl. Compare the entry in Hesychius *ἱρωστί· θεοπρεπῶς*.

ἰσχυρίζομαι “... II. 2 *put firm trust in a thing, rely on it, τῷ ξυνῷ πάντων* Heraclit. 114; *λόγῳ* Lys. 6.35 ...” For this use of *ἰσχυρίζομαι*

c. dat. add Dinarchus 1.8: *καὶ διὰ τί πολλοὺς ἀνήρηκας σὺ ταῖς τῆς βουλῆς ἴσχυριζόμενος ἀποφάσεσιν;*

κατάρχω “... rarely c. acc., begin a thing, θαυμαστὸν τινα λόγον Pl. *Euthd.* 283b ... 2. θανόντα δεσπόταν γύοις κατάρξω I will lead the dirge over ..., E. *Andr.* 1199 (lyr., with reference to the religious sense, *infr. II. 2 ...*)” *κατάρχω* c. acc. is at least as old as Alcman, frag. 98 Page (= 71 Diehl): *θοίναις δὲ καὶ ἐν θιάσοισιν / ἀνδρεῖων παρὰ δαιτυμόνεσσι πρέπει παιᾶνα κατάρχην.* It is also found c. acc. in the *Carmina Popularia* 5 (b) Page (= 48 Diehl), verse 5: ... ἀλλ' ἀκήρατον / *κατάρχομεν τὸν ὕμνον.* The passage from the *Andromache* should not be referred to the religious (i.e. *sacrificial*) usage; it is paralleled rather by the passages quoted above and by *κατάρχω* II. 1 (middle voice) where *κατάρχεσθαι νόμον* (*s.v.l.*) is cited from Euripides’ *Hecuba* 685 and *κατάρχεσθαι στεναγμόν* from the *Orestes* 960. For all these passages a cross-reference should be given to the entry *ἔξαρχω*, where abundant examples of this verb (usually in the active voice, but also in the middle) governing such nouns as *λόγος*, *γύος*, *μολπή*, *ἀοιδή*, *χορός*, *παιῶν* are cited. With *ἔξαρχω* both genitive and *accusative* are common.

κατασκευή, ἡ “... IV. device, trick, *τέχναι καὶ* Aeschin. 2.1, v. l. in Din. 1.34; ἄνεν *κατασκευῆς ἄδειν* artlessly, Ael. *NA* 5.38.” Add the certain occurrence in Dinarchus 1.53 *αἱ κατ’ ἔμοῦ φενδεῖς γενόμεναι κατασκευαί.* For the meaning, compare *LSJ* s.v. *κατασκευάζω* 4 (“of fraudulent transactions, *fabricate, trump up*”).

καταγάω “stroke, caress ... 2. metaph., smooth down, Plb. 2.13.6, 10.18.3; cajole, wheedle, *BGU* 1011.13 (ii B.C.).” For the metaphorical usage compare [Demades] 20 Blass ... *ἔδει καταγᾶν καὶ τιθασεύειν τὴν ὁργὴν τοῦ βασιλέως.*

κινέω “aor. ἐκίνησα ...—Med. and Pass., fut. *κινήσομαι* (in pass. sense) Pl. *Tht.* 182C, D. 9.51 -*ηθήσομαι* Ar. *Ra.* 796 ...” *LSJ* seem to imply that the future active of *κινέω* does not occur. Forms of the future active appear in Euripides, *Ion* 163 and *Orestes* 157 (*κινήσεις*) and Plato, *Timaeus* 57E (*κινήσοντος*, *κινῆσον*). See also Sophron frag. 127 Kaibel (*κινησῶ*) and the *Suda* s.v. *ἀφ’ ἵερᾶς*: *παροιμία κινήσω τὸν ἀφ’ ἵερᾶς κτλ.*

κλητεύω “summon into court or give evidence that a legal summons has been served ...” Add Lycurgus *Contra Leocratem* c. 20.

κλύω “... III. in Trag. like *ἀκούω* III. to be called or spoken of: with Adv., *εὖ* or *κακῶς καὶ* ... with a Noun (*sic*), κ. ἀναλκις μᾶλλον ἢ μιαιφόνος A. *Pr.* 868.” An anonymous satyr play partly preserved in *P. Oxy.* 8.1083, frag. 5, seems to provide a second example of

κλύειν collocated with a predicate adjective: *ἀν]ήρ κλύων σοφός*. Since some scholars, on grounds of “style,” are inclined to exclude Aeschylus as the author of this satyr play [see A. W. Pickard—Cambridge in *New Chapters in the History of Greek Literature, Third Series*, edited by J. U. Powell, p. 102], it is perhaps worth noting that this construction, *ni fallor*, is known only from Aeschylus. Another possible example is *Eumenides* 430 where Dindorf conjectured *κλύειν δίκαιος* [*δίκαιως, δίκαιονς* MSS].

κορυνήτης, ον, ὁ “club-bearer, mace-bearer, Il. 7.9, 138, Paus. 8.11.

4.” Add Parthenius frag. 18 Martini *ἔρισχήλοις κορυνήταις*.

κορύσσω. Add Semonides frag. 7.105 Diehl: *ενδοῦσα μῶμον ἐς μάχην κορύσσεται*.

κτήσιος, α, ον. Add the usage in Sophocles, *Eurypylylus* verse 36: *ἐπεὶ κτησίων φρενῶν ἔξεδυς*. See Pearson *ad. loc.*, who aptly compares in Euripides *Hippolytus* 701 (*τὰς φρένας κεκτήμεθα*), *Orestes* 1204 (*τὰς φρένας . . . κεκτημένη*) and frag. 909.5 (*τῇ γε νοῦν κεκτημένῃ*). One might further compare the Latin *compos mentis* and the English “in possession of one’s wits.” Wilamowitz (*Neue Jahrbücher für das klassische Altertum* 29.1912. p. 450, n. 2) observes “Für spezifisch sophokleisch möchte ich halten . . . besonders *κτήσιος proprius* als Ersatz des Possessivs Euryp. 5, Kol. 2, 8, vgl. Trach. 690.”

κυμαντός, ἡ, ὄν. Add this word to *LSJ*. It occurs in a broken context in the Strasbourg papyrus of Archilochus (?), frag 79a. 11 Diehl: . . . *ἄκρον παρὰ δργμῆνα κυμαντῶι[*. For the meaning and formation compare *κῦμα, κυμαίνω, κύμανσις*.

κύτος, εος, τό. *LSJ* cite no example of this word earlier than the fifth-century tragedians. It is at least as old as Alcman, frag. 17.1 Page (= frag. 49.1 Diehl): *καί ποκά τοι δώσω τρίποδος κύτος*; compare the similar phrase *τρίποδος ἐν κοίλῳ κύτει* which occurs in the *Supplices* of Euripides, verse 1202.

κωφάω “make dumb, silence, *πᾶσαν ιώήν* Opp. C. 3.286:—Pass., *grow dumb or deaf, become stupid, ὅπ' ἀπαιδενσίας κεκωφημένος* Clearch. 6. II. generally, *maim, injure*, Hsch.” This verb has been restored in Sophocles *Ichneutae* v. 198: *οὐκ εἰσακούεις, ή κεκώφη[σαι, ψόφον]*; (So A. S. Hunt in his Oxford *Tragicorum Graecorum Fragmenta Papyracea Nuper Reperta*; A. C. Pearson prints *κεκώφησαι, ψόφον*;) For another example of this verb (in Callimachus) see *Glotta* 46. 1968. 70.

λάλησις, εως, ἡ “= *λαλία*, Ar. *Fr.* 803, *AB* 438.4” Add *P. Oxy.* 8.1083.15–16 (= the *Ἀνωνύμου Σάτυροι* printed by A. S. Hunt in

Tragicorum Graecorum Fragmenta Papyracea Nuper Reperta): . . . ἔστι τῶν κάτω / λάλησις.

λημάω “*to be blear-eyed or purblind, λημᾶν κολοκύνταις to have one’s eyes running pumpkins, Ar. Nu. 327, cf. Hsch. . . .*” The similar idiom χύτραις λημᾶν (cited by *LSJ s.v. χύτρα*) should be compared. See, *ex gr.*, Lucian *adversus Indoctum* c. 23: . . . οὐκ, εἴ γε μὴ χύτραις λημῶντες τυγχάνοιεν. Hesychius combines both idioms: λημᾶν χύτραις καὶ κολοκύνταις· παροιμία· Χύτραις λημῶ καὶ κολοκύνταις. ἐπὶ τῶν ἀμβλωπωτόντων πάνν.

λίνεος, α, ον “*contr. -οῦς, ᾗ, οὐν, (λίνον) of flax, linen . . . also λινᾶ, τά, A. Fr. 206, Ar. Fr. 19 . . .*” The Aeschylean reference is to a fragment from the *Προμηθεὺς Πυρφαεύς* preserved by Pollux, *Onom.* 10. 64. The fragment is now printed in the collections of both Smyth and Mette (after Wilamowitz) as follows: λινᾶ δὲ πεσσὰ κώμολίνον μακροὶ τονοὶ [λινᾶ δὲ Dindorf: λινάδες, λίνα δὲ: πεσσὰ Wilamowitz: πίσσα]. It thus seems unlikely that Aeschylus used λινᾶ here substantively and this example should be deleted from the entry *λίνεος* in *LSJ*: the fragment ought rather be cited under the entry *πεσσός* (compare meaning II. 1). Finally, the reference is erroneous: this is fragment 205 Nauck, not 206 (the same error is repeated in *LSJ s.vv. τόνος* I. 1 and *ώμολινον* I.).

μεγακήτης, ες “*(cf. κητώεις) yawning, with mighty hollows, μεγακήτεα πόντον Od. 3.158; with mighty maw, δελφίς Il. 21.22 spacious, νῆσος 8.222, 11.5, 600. (Also expld. as derived from κῆτος, μ. πόντος teeming with monsters, μ. νῆσος with a monster as figure-head.)*” In view of the disputed meaning of the word, a cross-reference to *βαθυκήτης* (β. πόντος Theognis 175) would be helpful. The prefix *βαθυ-* shows that in Theognis *βαθυκήτης* (v.l. *μεγακήτης!*) does not refer to monsters. See also Gow at Théocritus 17.98 (*πολυκήτεα Νεῖλον*).

μεταξύ “. . . I. 2. b. in late writers, like *μετά* (Adv.), *after, afterwards, τὸ μ. σάββατον* the next Sabbath, *Act. Ap.* 13.42; *οἱ μ. τούτων βασιλεῖς* the kings who followed them, *J. BJ* 5.4.2; *οἱ μ. τούτων*, = Lat. *posteri eorum, IG* 14. 1913.” Add Plutarch *Moralia* 240 A–B: ἀλλ’ ὅμως οὗτως ἔχοντες μετὰ τὴν Φιλίππου τοῦ Μακεδόνος ἐν Χαιρωνείᾳ νίκην, πάντων αὐτὸν τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἡγεμόνα κατά τε γῆν καὶ κατὰ θάλατταν ἀναγορευσάντων, καὶ μεταξὺ δ’ Ἀλέξανδρον τὸν νίὸν μετὰ τὴν Θηβαίων καταστροφήν . . . οὕτε συνεστράτευσαν οὕτε τούτοις οὕτε τοῖς μεταξὺ Μακεδονικοῖς βασιλεῦσιν. See also *Moralia* 214E and Wytenbach’s comments at *Moralia* 177C. Hesychius has *μεταξύ*.

ἐξαίφνης. μετ' ὀλίγον. ἀνὰ μέσον. LSJ Suppl. s.v. give an example from the physician Rufus.

μετεωρισμός, ὁ “= μετεώρισις I. Hp. Prog. 9 (pl.); τῶν ποδῶν Arist. IA 11^b 23; τοῦ ὅλου σώματος ib. 713^a 23; rising up to the surface, of roots, Thphr. CP 1.3.5 . . .” Add LXX. Siracides 23.4 μετεωρισμὸν ὀφθαλμῶν μὴ δῶς μοι; 26.9 ἐν μετεωρισμοῖς ὀφθαλμῶν. For comparable phraseology compare LXX. Psalmi 130 (131). 1 ἐμετεωρίσθησαν οἱ ὀφθαλμοί μον and LXX. Isaias 5.15 οἱ ὀφθαλμοὶ οἱ μετέωροι ταπεινωθήσονται.

μηλόβοτος, ον “grazed by sheep, epith. of pastoral districts . . . χώραν μ. ἀνιέναι turn a district into a sheep-walk, i.e. lay it waste, Isoc. 14.31, cf. Ph. 2.473, D.L. 6.87 . . .” Add Lycurgus *Contra Leocratem*, 145 . . . ὁ μηλόβοτον τὴν Ἀττικὴν ἀνεῖναι . . . καταψηφισάμενος and Id. frag. 14 Blass ἀλλὰ καὶ μηλόβοτον τὴν χώραν ἀνῆκε. Compare the Suda, s.v. μηλόβοτος χώρα, where the Lycurgus fragment and an additional example (author doubtful due to textual corruption) are cited.

μητρονιά, ḥ. LSJ Suppl. has the following addendum: “μητρονιά, after ‘(Lesbos)’ add; ‘gen. pl. μητροῦν Com. Adesp. 12.4D.’” This Attic by-form μητρονά (without the iota) was also used by Plato; see Burnet’s edition at *Leges* 672B4 and 930B6. In the latter passage at least this orthography is based on excellent MS authority: “μητρονὰν A et. pr. O: μητρονιὰν vulg.” (At *Leges* 672B4 Burnet prints μητρονᾶς and records nothing in the *apparatus criticus*.) For the Attic doublets μητρονιά / μητρονά—which ought to be fully acknowledged now—compare ποιῶ / ποῶ and especially νιός / νός (v. LSJ s.v.),, πατρονίος, “stepfather,” is written πατρονός in *Catalogus Codicum Astrologorum* 2.174. μῆν is an Attic spelling for μνᾶ; other doublets are ροιά / ρόα and χροιά / χρόα (v. LSJ s.vv.). See E. H. Sturtevant, *The Pronunciation of Greek and Latin*², pp. 55–56 for the change of νι to ν in Attic.

μοναρχέω. Add what may be the oldest extant occurrence (so doubtfully D. A. Campbell, *Greek Lyric Poetry*, p. 445) Bacchylides frag 20B 12 (Snell): πᾶσι δ' ἀνθρώποις μοναρχήσειν δοκεῖ and Pindar frag. 52d. 29 = Paean 4.29 (Snell): ἀλλ' ὅ γε Μέλαμπος οὐκ ἥθελεν / λιπὼν πατρίδα μοναρχεῖν Ἀργεῖ. LSJ do not note this construction of μοναρχέω c. dat.; compare LSJ s.v. ἄρχω II. 2.

νέος, νέα, νέον. LSJ (s.v. III.) cite the occurrence of the comparative adverb νεωτέρως in Plato *Leges* 907C (προθυμίᾳ μὲν δὴ διὰ ταῦτα νεωτέρως εἰπεῖν ἡμῖν γέγονεν); they fail, however, to note the meaning which it bears here: “rather vigorously,” “rather spiritedly.” For

this meaning of *νέος*, compare *LSJ* s.v. *νεαρικός* and Isocrates, *Panathenaicus* 229: ... ἐμὲ μὲν ἐπήνεσαν ὡς διειλεγμένον τε νεαρωτέρως ἢ προσεδόκησαν ...

νόμος, δ. *LSJ* do not recognize the quasi-prepositional use of the accusative *c. gen.*; see Plato *Phaedrus* 250E: ... ἀλλ' ἥδονῇ παραδοὺς τετράποδος *νόμον* βαίνειν ἐπιχειρεῖ καὶ παιδοσπορεῖν. Compare the similar usages of the accusatives *δίκην* and *τρόπον*.

οἰκόπεδον, τό “site of a house, place on which a house is or has been built ... site of a city. *πόλεως* Plb. 15.23.10.” The phrase *οἰκόπεδον πόλεως* also occurs in [Demades] 26 Blass.

ὄκνος, δ “... IV. = ἀστερίας II. 1, Arist. *HA* 617^a 5, Ael. *NA* 5.36, Ant. *Lib.* 7.6.” The *ἀστερίας* is (apparently) a kind of heron and Pausanias 10.29.2 uses *ὄκνος* in this sense: *ὄκνον δ' οὖν καὶ μάντεων οἱ δρῶντες τοὺς οἰωνοὺς καλοῦσσι τινα ὄρνιθα· καὶ ἔστιν οὗτος δ' ὄκνος μέγιστος μὲν καὶ κάλλιστος ἐρωδιῶν, εἰ δὲ ἄλλος τις ὄρνιθων σπάνιός ἔστι καὶ οὗτος.*

ὅλιγος, η, ον “... IV. 3. b. ἐν ὅλιγοις one among few, i.e. exceedingly, remarkably, ποταμὸς ἐν δ. μέγας Hdt. 4.52; ἐν ὅλιγοισι Περσέων ... ἀνὴρ δόκιμος Id. 9.41: freq. in later writers, Plu. *Pomp.* 10, Hld. 3.1; so σὸν ὅλιγοις, v. infr. 10 ...” This idiom has survived from the classical period in Plato as well as in Herodotus, *Leges* 742E8; λέγοντιν δὲ τοὺς κεκτημένους ἐν ὅλιγοις τῶν ἀνθρώπων πλείστουν νομίσματος ἄξια κτήματα. The similar idioms *ἐν τοῖς μάλιστα* and, in Latin, *inter paucos*, may be compared.

ὅργη, ḥ. The “Dionysiac” usage in Pindar frag. 70b. 20 Snell deserves a citation: *φίμφα δ' εἶσιν Ἀρτεμις οἰοπόλας / ζεύξαισ' ἐν ὅργαις / Βακχίαις φῦλον λεόντων*. Bowra translates “in Bacchic frenzy” (*Pindar*, page 63).

ὅρεσκῶος, ον. Add Alcman frag. 89.4 Page (= frag. 58.4 Diehl).

ὅρθρος, δ “the time just before or about daybreak, dawn, cock-crow (ἀπὸ ὅρθρου μέχρι περ ἀν ἥλιος ἀνάσκῃ Pl. *Lg.* 951d) ...” Technically *ὅρθρος* is the period just before sunrise; it corresponds to the Latin *diluculum* and is to be distinguished from *ἔως*, *aurora*; this distinction is well illustrated by Xenophon *Anabasis* 4.3.8–9: *ἔπει δὲ ὅρθρος ἦν, ἔρχεται πρὸς τὸν Χειρίσοφον καὶ λέγει δτι ἐλπίδας ἔχει καλῶς ἔσεσθαι, καὶ διηγεῖται αὐτῷ τὸ ὄναρ. δ δὲ ἥδετό τε καὶ ὡς τάχιστα ἔως ὑπέφαινεν ἔθνοντο πάντες κτλ.*

ὅφείλω “... II. 3. c *ὅφελον* ... c. acc. et inf., ὕμοι ἔγών, *ὅφελόν* με ... ὀλέσθαι Orph. *A.* 1159 ...” A second example of this construction is [Demades] 26 Blass: *ὅφελον καὶ Θηβαίονς ἔχειν Δημάδην*. For a comparable construction compare Ps. Phocylides v. 45: *εἴθε σε μὴ*

θυητοῖσι γενέσθαι πῆμα ποθεινόν (for which passage see my “Some Greek Lexicographical Notes” in *Glotta* 46. 1968. 66 s.v. *εἰ*).

παῖς, παιδός. For the periphrastic usage of this word (*LSJ* I. 3) add Pindar *Nemean* 9.30 *παισὶν ... Αἰτναίων; Isthmian* 3/4.54 *παιδεσσιν Ἑλλάνων;* frag. 77 Sn. *παιδες Ἀθαναίων;* frag. 118 Sn. In *Isthmian* 3/4.18 *παιδες θεῶν* the meaning is disputed. (Compare *Pythian* 4.13 *παιδες ὑπερθύμων τε φωτῶν καὶ θεῶν; Nemean* 9.27 *παιδες θεῶν.*) Add also Plutarch, *Moralia* 501A *παιδες ἰατρῶν.* For an example of this usage in Bacchylides (15 [14].56) see my *Third Series.* The origin of the idiom is perhaps to be seen already in Homer: *δυστήνων δέ τε παιδες ἐμῷ μένει ὀντιόωσιν (Iliad* 6.127 = 21.151); see also *Od.* 11.547.

παιωνίζω. Add Bacchylides 17.129 (Snell) *παιάνιξαν.* (Note the orthography *παιαν-*; compare *LSJ* s.v. *fin.*)

παραγγελία, ἡ “... II. summoning one’s partisans to support one in a suit at law, exertion of influence, σπουδὴ καὶ π., συγγνώμη καὶ π. D. 19.1, 283.” Add Dinarchus frag. 2.5.9 Sauppe *καὶ τὰς ἴδια παραγγελίας γεγενημένας καὶ τὰς δεήσεις;* compare Harpocration, s.v. *παραγγελία*, who quotes both the first passage from Demosthenes and the Dinarchus fragment.

πᾶς, πᾶσα, πᾶν. The prepositional phrase *διὰ πᾶν*, apparently in the sense of *διὰ παντός*, occurs in Aeschylus *frag.* 355.10 Mette: ... [Σ]εμέλας δ’ εὐχόμεθ’ εἶναι διὰ πᾶν / εὐθύπορον λάχος δλβον ... The locution *διὰ πᾶν* with this adverbial force is, to my knowledge, unique; this suggests that the supplements (*λάχος* Latte, *δλβον* Lloyd-Jones) are possibly along the wrong lines. Italie seems to have felt the difficulty of *διὰ πᾶν*, for in his *Index Aeschyleus* s.v. *πᾶς* p. 237, he writes “... διὰ π. (an παν[τ]?) ...”

πελάτης, ον, ὁ “one who approaches or comes near, S. *Ph.* 1164 (lyr.); neighbor, *Τυώλον π. A. Pers.* 49 (anap.) ...” Add Euripides *Hypsipyle* frag. 1 IV. 12 (Bond) ... *τούσδ’ ἐγγὺς δρῶ / πελάτας ξείνους ... στείχοντας.*

πένταθλος, ὁ “... II. metaph. of one who tries everything ...” To the examples of this meaning which I adduced in my *Third Series* (*Glotta* 48. 1970. 68 s.v. *πένταθλος*) add now “Longinus” 34.1: ... *καὶ σχεδὸν ὄπαχρος ἐν πᾶσιν ὡς ὁ πένταθλος.* The English definition of *πένταθλος* in *LSJ* should be expanded to make it clear that this word, when used metaphorically, normally implies “second-best.” Note *ὄπαχρος* in “Longinus” *loc. cit.* and the remarkably similar language in [Plato] *Amatores* 138E: *πότερον οὖν καὶ περὶ ταῦτα λέγωμεν, ἔφην, πένταθλον αὐτὸν δεῖν εἶναι καὶ ὄπαχρον κτλ.*

πλάτος (A), *εος*, *τό* “breadth, width . . . V. π. καρδίας, of Solomon, width of knowledge, LXX 3 Ki. 2.35a.” This same phrase with the different meaning “tablet of the heart” appears elsewhere in the LXX: *Proverbs* 7.3 ἐπίγραφον δὲ ἐπὶ τὸ πλάτος τῆς καρδίας σου; 22.20 καὶ σὺ δὲ ἀπόγραφαι αὐτὰ . . . ἐπὶ τὸ πλάτος τῆς καρδίας σου (cf. also 3.3 A). For the figure compare Paul II Ep. Cor. 3.3 ἐπιστολὴ Χριστοῦ . . . ἐγγεγραμμένη . . . οὐκ ἐν πλαξὶ λιθίναις, ἀλλ’ ἐν πλαξὶ καρδίας. Similar metaphors were used by the tragedians: Aeschylus *Prometheus* 789 ἦν ἐγγράφου σὺ μνήμοσιν δέλτοις φρενῶν, Sophocles frag. 597 Pearson θὲς δ’ ἐν φρενὸς δέλτοισι τὸν ἔμονς λόγους.

πνίγω “. . . I. 3 metaph. vex, torment, ἕνα χαλκοῦν ἀποβαλὼν αὐτὸν π. Phld. Ir. p. 37 W.; δὲ μάλιστά με πνίγει v. l. in Luc. Prom. 17, oppress by exactions, ‘squeeze’, Jul. Mis. 368c.” An example of this meaning of the word from the classical period may be seen in Sophocles *Ichneutae* 393 ἥδη με πνίγεις. Compare ἀποπνίγω. Add also Pherecrates frag. 51.1 (Kock): κὰν μὲν σιωπῶ, τείρεται καὶ πνίγεται.

πορεύω. Add the transitive usage in the metaphorical sense of “convey,” “impart,” which occurs in the *Melanippe Vincta* of Euripides, frag. 6.15–17 von Arnim: ἀμφὶ δ’ ἄγνα Δωδώνης βάθρα / φηγῷ παρ’ ἵερᾳ θῆλυ τὰς Διὸς φρένας / γένος πορεύει τοῖς θέλονσιν Ελλάδος.

ποτής, *ητος*, *ἡ* “drink, opp. ἐδητύς, σῖτος, βρώμη Il. 11.780, 19.306, Od. 10.379 etc.; Dor. gen. *ποτᾶτος* Philox. 2.38.” Sophocles used this word in the *Ichneutae*, verse 268.

πρᾶγμα, *ατος*, *τό* “. . . III. in pl., πράγματα . . . 3. fortunes, cause, circumstances, Hdt. 7.236, 237; κοινὰ π. E. IT 1062 . . . in sg., φαῦλον γὰρ ἀν εἴη τὸ ἔμὸν π. Pl. Hp. Ma. 268e, cf. Cri. 53d, Ap. 42a.” Burnet’s remarks at Plato *Apologia* 42a 4 (ὅπότεροι δὲ ἡμῶν ἔρχονται ἐπὶ ἄμεινον πρᾶγμα, ἄδηλον . . .) deserve note “ἐπὶ ἄμεινον πρᾶγμα ‘to a better lot.’ This is clearly the substantival form of ἄμεινον πράττειν, a use of *πρᾶγμα* not sufficiently recognized in the dictionaries.”

προσδόκιμος, *ον* “expected, looked for, or to be expected, π. δ θάνατος Hp. Prog. 9, cf. 24; τοῖσι παρεοῦσί τε καὶ π. κακοῖσι Hdt. 8.20 2. freq. of persons, expected . . .” An additional example of this adjective applied to a *thing* may be seen in [Demades] 19 Blass: *προσδόκιμος* ἦν εἰς τὴν Ἀττικὴν δ κίνδυνος.

πνορίχη, *ἡ* “war-dance . . .” Add Athenaeus 14.631C, where it is stated that *καλεῖται δ’ ἡ πνορίχη καὶ χειρονομία*. Since the *πνορίχη* was a war-dance, such martial phrases as ἐς χειρῶν νόμον and ἐν χειρῶν νόμῳ should probably be compared for this meaning of

χειρονομία. See *LSJ* s.vv. *νόμος* I. i. e and *χειρονομία* II and III. (This latter entry in *LSJ* should also be corrected in the light of Athenaeus' statement.)

στρατός, ὁ “... *the commons, people*, = *λαός, δῆμος*, opp. *οἱ σοφοί*, Pl. *P.* 2.87, cf. *O.* 9.95, *A. Eu.* 683, 762, *S. El.* 749.” There seems to be an older example of this usage in Alcman frag. 3.73 Page (= P. *Oxy.* 2387)

Ἄ]στυμέλοισα κατὰ στρατόν
]μέλημα δάμωι

A similar usage of *στρατόπεδον* has apparently gone unnoticed; see Plato *Leges* 708 A 1–2: *τὸ δὲ δὴ παρὸν ἡμῖν λέγε πόθεν ἔσεσθαι φῆς στρατόπεδον τῶν πολιτῶν τὰ νῦν*; Here *στρατόπεδον τῶν πολιτῶν* refers to a body of colonists.

σύζυγος, ον. Add now the oldest attested occurrence of the word, Sappho frag. 213 Lobel-Page (= *P. Oxy.* xxi. 2292), where it appears in the Aeolic form *σύνδυγος* (“ἀντὶ τοῦ σ[ύν]ζυξ” pap.).

συμφέρω “A. Act. I. ... 4. bear along with or together ... of sufferings, labours, and the like, bear jointly, help to bear ... σ. κακά E. HF 1366 ...” Euripides uses *συμφέρω* in this meaning c. gen. in frag. 909.11–12: *σοὶ δ’ ἔγωγε καὶ νοσοῦντι συννοσοῦντος ἀνέξομαι / καὶ κακῶν τῶν σῶν ξυνοίσω κτλ.* F. G. Schmidt needlessly conjectured *καὶ κάκῳ εὑφρων σοι ξυνοίσω*; the construction is on the analogy of *συμμετέχειν* c. dat. pers. et gen. rei; see also *LSJ* s.v. *ἀνέχω* C. 3 for examples of *ἀνέχεσθαι* c. gen. in the sense of “endure,” “bear.”

συντρέχω “... I. 2 assemble, gather together, Hdt. 8.71; ἐς τὴν ὁδόν Id. 2.121. δ’; εἰς τὴν ἐκκλησίαν Lycurg. 16 ...” *LSJ* have missed the oldest extant example of this usage, Archilochus, frag. 54 Diehl: *ώς Πανελλήνων διένεις ἐς Θάσον συνέδραμεν*.

σῶμα, ατος, τό. σῶμα is used of the “body politic” in Dinarchus 1.110: *ὑμεῖς εἰς τὸ τῆς πόλεως σῶμα ἀποβλέψατες καὶ τὴν πρότερον δόξαν ὑπάρχονσαν αὐτῇ ...* This noteworthy phrase was questioned by Markland who conjectured *ἀξίωμα* (compare Dinarchus 3.21 *τὸ τῆς πόλεως ἀξίωμα*), but Blass aptly compares Hyperides I (V) *adversus Demosthenem*, col. 25 ... *ἥδη ἐπ’ αὐτῷ τῷ σώματι τῆς πόλεως δῶρα εἰλήφασι*.

ταναός, ἥ, ὄν. Add the oldest non-epic occurrence of the word, Alcman frag. 3.70 *διέβα ταναοῖς πο[σί]*.

τεχνικός, ἥ, ὄν “... II. of things, *artificial*, opp. *αὐτόματος*, Thphr. *Lap.* 55; *τ. ὕδατα* an *artificial* water-supply, Gal. 17 (2). 183 ...”

This usage already occurs in Plato, *Leges* 889A: ... τέχνην, ἥν δὴ [sc. φασιν] παρὰ φύσεως λαμβάνονταν τὴν τῶν μεγάλων καὶ πρώτων γένεσιν ἔργων, πλάττειν καὶ τεκταίνεσθαι πάντα τὰ σμικρότερα, ἢ δὴ τεχνικὰ πάντες προσαγορεύομεν. (Note the expression πάντες προσαγορεύομεν, which implies that this meaning of *τεχνικός* was a familiar one.)

τρίγλυνφος, ον “... II. as Subst., *τρίγλυνφος*, ἥ, in Doric architecture, the *triglyph*, a three-grooved tablet placed at equal distances along the frieze ... : -pl. *τρίγλυνφα*, τά, Diph. 61.2.” Aristotle uses this word as a substantive in the *Nicomachean Ethics*, p. 1174^a 26: ... ἡ δὲ τῆς κορηπῖδος καὶ τοῦ τριγλύνφου [sc. ποίησις] ἀτελής. The gender is presumably neuter rather than masculine (compare τὰ *τρίγλυνφα* in Diphilus, *loc. cit.*); in any event it is *not* feminine in Aristotle.

ὑπερθύριον, τό “lintel of a door or gate, Od. 7.90; ὑπερθυρίοις ἀραρνῖαι ἐπτὰ πύλαι Hes. Sc. 271: in Prose, ὑπέρθυρον, τό ... also in Parm. 1.12, Herod. 2.65 (pl.).” The “prose” (i.e. more common) form ὑπέρθυρον also occurs in the Rhodian *Swallow-Song* (= *Carmina Popularia* 2.14 Page = 32.15 Diehl).

φήμη, ἥ. Add a new meaning; *φήμη* is used—or nearly so—in the sense of “site whence prophetic utterances emanate” in Euripides *Helen* v. 820 φήμη τις οἴκων ἐν μυχοῖς ἴδρυμένη and Plato *Leges* 738 C καθιέρωσαν δὲ τοῖς τοιούτοις λόγοις φήμας τε καὶ ἀγάλματα καὶ βωμοὺς καὶ ναούς, τεμένη τε τούτων ἐκάστοις ἐτεμένισαν. See E. B. England at Plato, *loc. cit.* Compare *Φῆμις* = “place of talk” in *Od.* 15. 468.

φιλέω “... II after Hom., c. inf., *love to do, be fond of doing, and so to be wont or used to do* ... 3. impers., *φιλέει δέ κως προσημαίνειν* (sc. ὁ θεός), εὗτ’ ἄν ... Hdt. 6.27; ὡς δὴ *φιλεῖ* ... λόγον ἔχειν ἀνθρώπονς as it is usual for ..., Plu. *Pomp.* 73.” A clear example of the impersonal construction occurs in Alcidamas (?) *Odyssaeus* c. 4: ... οὐδ’ ἐν παλαίστρᾳ οὐδ’ ἐν συμποσίῳ, ἐνθα *φιλεῖ* ἔριδας πλείστας καὶ λοιδορίας γίγνεσθαι.

φιλονικέω “to be fond of victory, engage in rivalry, be contentious ...” The apparently unique *passive* usage of this verb in Plato *Leges* 907C has gone unnoticed: καὶ μὴν εἰρηνταί γέ πως [sc. οὗτοι οἱ λόγοι] σφοδρότερον διὰ φιλονικίαν τῶν κακῶν ἀνθρώπων· τούτον γε μὴν ἔνεκα ... πεφιλονίκηνται, μή ποτε κτλ. The subject of *πεφιλονίκηνται* seems to be *οἱ λόγοι* understood; “our arguments have been contentiously delivered lest ...” (Less probably *οἱ κακοὶ ἀνθρώποι* is to be understood as subject: “Wicked men have been dealt with contentiously ...”) The unusual passive construction is made easier by the fact that the verb here clearly takes up the preceding διὰ φιλονικίαν.

φιλοψυχέω “*love one’s life, with collat. sense of to be cowardly or faint-hearted . . .*” Add Lycurgus *Contra Leocratem* 130 τίς παρὰ τὸ συμφέρον τῆς πόλεως φιλοψυχήσει; and [Demades] 38 Blass πρεσβῦται φιλοψυχοῦσιν ἐπὶ δυσμαῖς τοῦ βίου. On the history of this word see W. Jaeger, *Tyrtaeus über die wahre APETH* (*Sitz. Ber. der Preuß. Akad. der Wissensch.* 23. 1932. 564–566 = W. Jaeger, *Scripta Minora* II. 110–112).

Φοίνισσα, φοίνισσα “fem. of *Φοῖνιξ, φοῖνιξ*. For the various meanings of *Φοίνισσα* see *LSJ* s.v. *Φοῖνιξ*. One meaning has gone unnoticed: Bacchylides, 17.54 (Snell), has the phrase νύμ[φ]α *Φοίνισσα*, in which the adjective means “(daughter) of Phoenix;” compare above in the same dithyramb, verses 31–32 *Φοίνικος . . . κόρα*.

χαρίζω “. . . usu. Med. *χαρίζομαι* . . . II. 2 c. gen. part., *give freely of a thing . . .*” Add Semonides frag. 29.13 Diehl ψυχῇ τῶν ἀγαθῶν τλῆθι *χαριζόμενος*.

χλῆδος, ὁ “*slime, mud, the rubbish carried down by a flood or swept out of a house, A. Fr. 16, D. 55. 22, 27: metaph., ἀργυρίον χλῆδον λαβών Crates Com. 28.*” *LSJ* err in citing Aeschylus frag. 16 first; in that fragment *χλῆδος* is clearly used in a *metaphorical* sense: καὶ παλτά κάγκυλητά καὶ χλῆδον βελῶν [M. Schmidt: βαλών]. Schmidt’s correction *βελῶν* (apparently unknown to Nauck) is accepted by Smyth and Mette; even if *βαλών* is retained, it seems doubtful that *χλῆδον*, coupled here with *παλτά* and *ἀγκυλητά*, is being used in its literal meaning.

χνόος, ὁ “*ἄλος χ. incrustation from salt water, ἐκ κεφαλῆς ἔσμηχεν ἄλος χνόον, Od. 6.226; wool pulled for stuffing cushions, flock . . . chaff . . . powder . . . dust . . . fine down . . .*” A second example of the Homeric meaning “incrustation,” “salt-scum,” occurs in the Strasbourg papyrus usually attributed to Archilochus (frag. 79a 7 Diehl): ἐκ δὲ τοῦ χνόον / φυκία πόλλῳ ἐπέχοι.

χρυσεῖον, τό “*gold-mine, Plb. 34.10.10: pl. χρυσεῖα gold-mines, X. HG 4.8.37, Plb. 3.57.3 etc . . .*” Add Plato *Leges* 742D . . . πόλιν . . . πλονούσιαν, κεκτημένην δ’ αὖ χρύσεια καὶ ἀργύρεια . . . Note the proparoxytone accent *χρύσεια*, which seems to be more correct; *χρυσεῖον* is perhaps due to confusion with *χρυσίον*. (*LSJ* give *χρυσεῖον, τό* but ἀργύρειος and ἀργύρεια, τά.)

ψῆφος, ἥ “. . . II. 5 pebble used in voting . . .” To the various phrases and idioms containing *ψῆφος* in this sense in *LSJ*, add Lycurgus *Contra Leocratem* c. 2 . . . ἔχοντας ὑπὸ τῇ ψῆφῳ τὸν προδότην =

"having the traitor *subject to, at the mercy of*, your vote." The same idiom recurs *ib.*, c. 27.

ώτακονστης, ον, ὁ "listener, eavesdropper, of a person employed as a spy by tyrants, Arist. *Pol.* 1313^b 14, *Mu.* 398^a 21, Plb. 16.37.1, Plu. 2.522f." Add [Demades] 46 Blass: *ἐλευθερία ὠτακονστήν οὐκ εὐλαβεῖται.*

Neugriechisch bei Epiktet

Von GEORGIOS FATOUROS

Es gibt vielleicht nur eine Möglichkeit, Neugriechisch (bzw. Vulgärgriechisch) bei einem Autor wie Epiktet¹⁾ nachweisen zu wollen, ohne Gefahr zu laufen, in den Bereich des Spruches *γλαῦκα εἰς Αθήνας* zu gelangen, und die wäre, wenn man sich dabei auf stehende Ausdrücke und Redewendungen beschränkt. Während es nämlich an Untersuchungen über die Koine-Abstammung sprachlicher Phänomene des Neugriechischen, die sich auf einzelne Wörter oder Wortelemente beziehen, keineswegs fehlt, und während bei solchen Untersuchungen Texte wie Epiktets Dissertationes oder das Neue Testament nahezu erschöpfend berücksichtigt worden sind, sind die Arbeiten, die sich mit der Suche nach Wortkomplexen in der Koine befassen, welche sich bis ins Neugriechische erhalten haben, relativ gering²⁾. Wegen seines ausgesprochen vulgären Stils eignet sich vielleicht Epiktets Werk besonders zu einer solchen Untersuchung, aber auch unter den übrigen spätgriechischen Autoren gibt es kaum einen, der in dieser Hinsicht uninteressant wäre. Im folgenden werden solche stehende Ausdrücke und Redewendungen aus den Dissertationes herausgesucht und nach Stichwörtern alphabetisch angeordnet, welche im Neugriechischen noch heute entweder im Wortlaut oder dem Aspekt nach gebräuchlich sind.

Dabei bedürfen folgende Abkürzungen einer Erklärung: A. = *Ἀκαδημία Αθηνῶν, Ἰστορικὸν Λεξικὸν τῆς Νέας Ἑλληνικῆς, Ἐν Αθήναις 1933–1953;* D. = *Δημητράκου, Μέγα Λεξικόν ὅλης τῆς Ἑλληνικῆς γλώσσης, Αθῆναι 1958;*

¹⁾ Einfachheitshalber wird hier Epiktet als Autor der Dissertationes vorausgesetzt.

²⁾ Cf. Andriotes in *Ἑλληνικά* 15 (1957) S. 1f., wo allerdings über den ganzen Bereich des Altgriechischen gesprochen wird.